Appeal, SS refused

Dear Quin, 4/13/78

Receiving from McCreight what I refer to in the enclosed, which includes a copy of what he sent, reminded me that I had forgotten to mail the letter of two days ago. As you will see, without knowing what I would be receiving it was not difficult to anticipate that there would continue to be a difference between the testimony and the FBI's practise.

In writing McCreight I intend giving the FBI a chance to clean that particular business up, as much as it now can be, the added defamation having been accomplished.

The three Secret Service people with whom I met on FOIA requests - and on nothing else - are Asststant Director Tom Kelley, Counsel Goff and p.r. man Jack Warner.

If Bradley was going to the FBI and talking I think it unlikely that he failed to let it know that he had come here to see me, seeking help - against Garrison and others- which I did provide. I think he would not easily have forgotten that I prevented the filing of spurious criminal charges, as assassin, in New Orleans. However, there is no indication of any of this in these records.

I will not now be more specific but I give you my word that these are not all the FBI's relevant records. I am not saying others should exist, which I believe is without reasonable doubt. I am saying I have the proof in hand. My reasons for not giving you copies of other records that I do have has no reference to you. It reflects no question in my mind about you or your staff. Rather does it have to do with what I have learned from long experience with the FBI and the fact that it is not in compliance with my PA requests.

In today's mail I also have copies from Jim of 83 pages from the Dallas Field Office. My wife is making copies of them now so I can mark up the copies. This is in response to a PA request addressed to every field office. It overlaps into the JFK records and that request, of which it is also part.

Unless there has been what I do not expect, a great change from the past, these records will hold still new proofs of non-compliance and will extend to representations made in court.

I mention this because it will mean much work for me and for your office.

Without removing any of the pages from the envelope I skimmed them by the top. There is no doubt that Dallas has records on me it has not provided.

Unless I missed it this includes what Lynne Zusman told Judge Green last November was being provided to me. It overlaps into the King case.

I will be letting Jim know what I see in these records, in terms of non-compliance as well as other matters.

Later, after a number of interruptions: I have read the Dallas records. I am appealing the denials. I will delay mailing this to include one of the memos I will be giving Jim. I am also appealing the denial by illegibility. These pages that cannot be read and are in parts invisible are all original FBI records. In most cases they should have been provided in response to other requests. I will give him explanations. These may or may not be helpful to you. I think they will be.

Jim told me of your letter relating to personal records and New Orleans. I told him that was okay but to please ask that as those JFK records are processed personal records be copied and provided separately. After reading the Dallas records I suggest the FBI re-check. Some from New Orleans files appear to be of a nature that would be indexed or also filed separately. Of these some are FOIA records.

Sincerely, Harold Weisberg